# MedDRA® POINTS TO CONSIDER COMPANION DOCUMENT

#### **ICH-Endorsed Guide for MedDRA Users**

#### Release 1.1

# **July 2020**

#### **Disclaimer and Copyright Notice**

This document is protected by copyright and may, with the exception of the MedDRA and ICH logos, be used, reproduced, incorporated into other works, adapted, modified, translated or distributed under a public license provided that ICH's copyright in the document is acknowledged at all times. In case of any adaption, modification or translation of the document, reasonable steps must be taken to clearly label, demarcate or otherwise identify that changes were made to or based on the original document. Any impression that the adaption, modification or translation of the original document is endorsed or sponsored by the ICH must be avoided.

The document is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. In no event shall the ICH or the authors of the original document be liable for any claim, damages or other liability arising from the use of the document.

The above-mentioned permissions do not apply to content supplied by third parties. Therefore, for documents where the copyright vests in a third party, permission for reproduction must be obtained from this copyright holder.

MedDRA® trademark is registered by ICH.

#### **Table of Contents**

SECTION 1 -	INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION 2 -	DATA QUALITY	2
2.1 The li	mportance of Data Quality	2
2.2 Chara	acteristics of Good Quality Data	3
	Role of MedDRA in a Data Quality Strategy	
2.4 Comp	oonents of an Organisational Data Quality Strategy	3
2.4.1	Data collection	
2.4.2	MedDRA coding considerations	5
2.4.3	Training	
2.4.4	Quality assurance checks	8
2.4.5	MedDRA versioning strategy	9
SECTION 3 -	MEDICATION ERRORS	9
3.1 Codin	ng Medication Errors – Questions and Answers	10
3.1.1	Use of LLT Medication error	10
3.1.2	Selecting more than one term	11
3.1.3	Medication error vs. off label use	11
3.1.4	Potential medication errors	12
3.1.5	Selecting the most specific term	12
3.1.6	MedDRA Concept Description for medication error	
3.1.7	Stages of the medication use system	13
3.1.8	Coding the root cause	14
3.1.9	Do not infer a medication error	
3.1.10	Device use errors, wrong technique, and device malfunction	15
3.2 Exam	ples for Coding Medication Errors	15
3.2.1	Accidental exposures to products	16
3.2.2	Miscellaneous medication errors/issues	
3.2.3	Product administration errors/issues	21
3.2.4	Product confusion errors/issues	25
3.2.5	Dispensing errors/issues	
3.2.6	Monitoring errors/issues	
3.2.7	Preparation errors/issues	
3.2.8	Prescribing errors/issues	
3.2.9	Product selection errors/issues	
	Product storage errors/issues	
3.2.11	Product transcribing errors/communication issues	34

#### SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION

The MedDRA Term Selection: Points to Consider and MedDRA Data Retrieval and Presentation: Points to Consider documents provide valuable guidance to MedDRA users worldwide on general term selection and data retrieval principles as well as providing specific examples of approaches to coding and analysis. However, there are certain topics where users could benefit from having more detailed information pertaining to the use of MedDRA than can be covered in the existing documents.

The purpose of this Companion Document is to supplement the Points to Consider (PtC) documents by providing additional details, examples, and guidance on specific MedDRA-related topics of global regulatory importance. It was developed and is maintained by the same working group that was charged by the ICH Management Committee to develop the PtC documents. The working group consists of representatives of ICH regulatory and industry members, the World Health Organization, the MedDRA Maintenance and Support Services Organization (MSSO), and the Japanese Maintenance Organization (JMO). The Companion Document is intended to be a "living" document and is updated based on users' needs, rather than being tied to MedDRA releases. Like the PtC documents, the Companion Document is available in English and Japanese; however, if certain examples are not relevant or are difficult to translate, these will not be included in the Japanese version.

The contents of the document are agreed by all ICH parties; it does not specify regulatory requirements, nor does it address database issues. Organisations are encouraged to document their own coding and data retrieval conventions in organisation-specific guidelines which should be consistent with the PtC documents and this Companion Document.

Users are invited to contact the MSSO Help Desk with any questions or comments about the MedDRA Points to Consider Companion Document.

#### SECTION 2 – DATA QUALITY

This section will discuss important data quality and data entry principles related to the use of MedDRA in the clinical trial and postmarketing environments. It will not address specific regulatory requirements, database structure issues, file format conventions, data workflow applications, or other topics which are beyond the scope of MedDRA.

In both the development and marketing of human medicinal products, data collection is a critical and ongoing process. As noted in the *MedDRA Term Selection: Points to Consider* (MTS:PTC) document, the quality of original reported information directly impacts the quality of data output.



Data are applied to make inferences, test hypotheses, draw conclusions, make statements, and report findings about the safety and efficacy of biopharmaceutical products. Since data are used for activities ranging from coding to information categorisation, retrieval, analysis, and presentation, ensuring access to high quality data is paramount. Quality data support safety functions including signal detection, data analysis, and product label development. This section will describe some of the practices and processes which should be part of an organisational data quality strategy.

#### 2.1 The Importance of Data Quality

As the regulated biopharmaceutical industry strives for greater harmonisation of safety reporting regulations and standards, there is an increasing emphasis on safety surveillance and data quality. In addition to supporting patient/subject safety, increased data quality facilitates communication of complete and accurate information to those involved in clinical research and post-marketing processes (including regulatory bodies, sponsoring companies, study site personnel and marketing authorisation holders). Collection of high quality data can also result in greater time and cost efficiency during product development and marketing (e.g. less querying of incomplete data, decrease site monitoring costs and reduce the risk of delayed regulatory approval).

The quality of adverse event data is central to safety monitoring in clinical trials, to the risk assessment of marketing applications and in the evaluation of safety signals within postmarketing data. Adverse events are typically generated by complaints from study subjects, patients or their caregivers. These verbatim terms may be either coded manually or coded automatically with autoencoder tools by selecting MedDRA Lowest Level Terms (LLTs). Users need to be aware that some LLTs are rather non-specifc and that further clarification of the reported information may be necessary. Small deviations in coding can result in significant issues and produce misleading analyses. Coding selections may vary even in apparently simple cases. Given this variability, it is important to thoughtfully evaluate adverse event data rather than relying on any specific recommendation or guidance.

#### 2.2 Characteristics of Good Quality Data

Quality data have several common features. Foremost, these data should be both complete and accurate. Whenever possible, the most concise form of data should be collected, provided that this can be done without sacrificing either completeness or accuracy. Within an organisation, data quality is fostered by comprehensive, consistent, transparent and documented data handling processes. Quality data is, by definition, supported by the available information. For example, clinical diagnoses should be consistent with the available medical history, physical findings, laboratory and investigational results. Furthermore, quality data should be capable, when appropriate, of supporting data-related associations (e.g. when performing a causality assessment of an adverse event which could be related to a product).

#### 2.3 The Role of MedDRA in a Data Quality Strategy

As a standardised and validated clinical terminology used in both clinical development and postmarketing surveillance, MedDRA should play an important role in a sound data quality strategy. Since MedDRA is used to "code" information during data entry, it is important to consider the principles in the MTS:PTC document to ensure the selection of coding terms with the highest specificity and analytical quality. The large number of available LLTs provides a high degree of granularity. However, even the granularity of MedDRA cannot overcome "low quality" primary information.

#### 2.4 Components of an Organisational Data Quality Strategy

The development and implementation of an organisational data quality strategy is a complex task which involves the input, support and collaboration of many stakeholders. Many of the principles of high quality data collection are the same in both the clinical trial and postmarketing environments. This section will discuss a framework for acquiring data of high quality.

#### 2.4.1 Data collection

Whether in a clinical trial, a postmarketing safety call center, or a healthcare professional's office, there is often only one opportunity to capture complete and accurate information. Since data output quality is determined by data input quality in a database, there are important consequences from these initial steps. For those collecting information (e.g. a study site physician/nurse, a postmarketing call center employee, a dispensing pharmacist, an emergency room physician), certain practices will help to maximise the quality of the collected data:

- During data collection, completeness and accuracy need to be weighed against the risk of collecting "unimportant" information. This is particularly true if time limitations are present. It is advisable to minimise the amount of unimportant information placed in dedicated data fields for key concepts such as adverse events. Otherwise, the data coding and management can be further complicated.
- In clinical trials, reporters should be encouraged to use consistent medical terminology to describe similar medical concepts. The best strategy is to

- carefully train study site personnel (especially investigators) about the importance of consistency in data collection.
- In clinical trials, data collection instruments (whether they are electronic or paper case report forms) should be carefully designed to be easy to use, enduring and sufficiently comprehensive to gather all the necessary information. Since individual trials or clinical projects can span years, it is never possible to spend "too much" time developing quality data collection tools. Appropriate "subject matter experts" in data management, information technology, statistics, quality assurance, and regulatory compliance should be involved throughout the planning process. After years into development, it is difficult, if not impossible, to compensate for needed data which has not been adequately collected.
- With the passage of time, the ability to seek clarification of incomplete information becomes limited and very often, a reporter's recollection of important facts can change dramatically. Therefore, it is crucial to start the "query" process as soon as possible to obtain clarification from the data source.
- When a report contains multiple diagnoses (such as a report of "broken finger and hand abrasion" or "urinary bladder obstruction and cystitis"), it is usually appropriate to record these as separate concepts on the data collection form
- Attempt to minimise spelling errors and the use of abbreviations and acronyms. The table below illustrates the difficulty of interpreting such poor or ambiguous data:

Reported	Data Quality Challenge	
Had MI	Does MI stand for myocardial infarction, mitral insufficiency, mental illness or mesenteric ischaemia?	
Interperial	Was this word intended to represent "intraperitoneal" or "intraperineal"?	
Nitro drip	Did this drip contain nitroglycerin or nitroprusside?	

 Furthermore, without proper context, it is impossible to interpret other "vague" terms as shown in the table below:

Reported	Data Quality Challenge	
Congestion	Nasal, hepatic, venous, etc.?	
Obstruction	Bronchial, intestinal, ureteral, etc.?	
Infarction	Myocardial, cerebral, retinal, etc.?	

Clarification of such terms should be requested at the time of data collection.

#### 2.4.2 MedDRA coding considerations

MedDRA can be used to accurately code many types of reported information. This includes not only diagnoses, signs and symptoms representing adverse reactions/adverse events but also concepts such as medical and social history, indications for product use, device-related events, surgical and medical procedures, investigations, exposures, misuse and abuse, off label use, medication errors, and product quality issues. For meaningful data review, it is important to ensure that all required information is coded consistently. Important data quality issues to consider include:

- Steps should be taken to ensure that individuals responsible for MedDRA coding have familiarity with the terminology as well as the requisite training to utilise it effectively. Particular attention should be paid to the relevant coding principles outlined in the MTS:PTC document. In environments where MedDRA coding is performed by a number of individuals, it is important to have a consistent organisational approach.
- Appropriately trained individuals should review MedDRA coding
- It is an important concept that all adverse events and adverse reactions from a report should be coded, regardless of causal association. Similarly, do not add information by selecting a term for a diagnosis if only signs or symptoms are reported (MTS:PTC Section 2.10)
- It is important that reported information is coded accurately; it is not appropriate to select terms for concepts which are less specific or less severe than the reported term (e.g., coding a convulsive seizure with LLT Shakiness or coding peritonitis with LLT Belly ache)
- It is advisable to follow the "preferred" coding options specified in the MTS:PTC document, especially for issues like the coding of provisional and definitive diagnoses with associated signs and symptoms. If one chooses to use an "alternate" coding option from the MTS:PTC, it is a good practice to document why this was done and to be consistent in the use of this alternate choice.
- It is important to distinguish medical conditions (typically found in the SOC of the primary manifestation site) from laboratory and test terms (which are found in SOC *Investigations*)

- Verbatim terms may contain more than one medical concept (such as a report of "fall and contusion"). It is important to consider each of the reported events and code as appropriate.
- Consider the use of "split coding" (selecting more than one term) where there is no single LLT within MedDRA which captures all of the concepts (MTS:PTC Section 2.8 and Section 3.5.4)
- Organisations may wish to create "synonym" lists of verbatim terms which can then be coded to pre-determined LLTs. An example of a synonym list is shown below:

Reported Verbatim	LLT	
Throbbing above temple Aching all over head Pulsing pain in head	In a synonym list, each of these verbatim reports would be coded using LLT  Headache	

Synonym lists may be particularly helpful in some circumstances, e.g. when those involved in report coding have limited medical expertise, when coding is in several geographical sites or when an autoencoder is being extensively used. It is also important to ensure that terms selected for a synonym are <u>true</u> synonyms for the coded medical concept.

- Medical and surgical procedures are generally not adverse events.
  However, if only a procedure is reported, then an appropriate term is used to code the procedure (MTS:PTC Section 3.13.1). On the other hand, if a procedure is reported with a diagnosis, then the preferred option is to select an appropriate term to code both the procedure and diagnosis. The alternate option is to code only the reported diagnosis (MTS:PTC Section 3.13.2). Some organisations have data collection forms with separate data fields for adverse events and for procedures; this aids entry of data in the appropriate category.
- In the context of safety reporting, death, disability and hospitalisation are outcomes, not adverse events. Therefore, they are generally not coded with MedDRA. Instead, they are recorded in the appropriate data collection field for outcomes. One exception to this recommendation is when death, disability, or hospitalisation is the only reported verbatim. These concepts are coded with MedDRA while clarification of the underlying cause is sought (see MTS:PTC Section 3.2 for further information). In addition, death terms that add important clinical information (e.g. LLT Sudden unexplained death in epilepsy, LLT Foetal death) should be selected along with any reported ARs/AEs.
- When vague, ambiguous, or conflicting information is reported, MedDRA has codes which can be utilised while attempts are made to clarify the information. For example:

Vague information (see also MTS:PTC Section 3.4.3):

Reported	LLT Selected	Comment
Appeared red	Unevaluable event	"Appeared red" reported alone is vague; this could refer to a patient's appearance or even that of a product (i.e., a pill, a solution, etc.)

Ambiguous Information (see also MTS:PTC Section 3.4.2):

andiguous information (see also wife.) To occiton 5.4.2).					
Reported	LLT Selected	Comment			
Patient had medical history of AR	III-defined disorder	It is not known what medical condition the patient had (aortic regurgitation, arterial restenosis, allergic rhinitis?), so LLT <i>III-defined disorder</i> can be selected			
		selected			

Conflicting Information (See also MTS:PTC Section 3.4.1):

Reported	LLT Selected	Comment
Severe anaemia with a haemoglobin of 19.1 g/dL	Haemoglobin abnormal	LLT Haemoglobin abnormal covers both of the reported concepts (note: haemoglobin value of 19.1 g/dL is a high result, <b>not</b> a low result as would be expected in severe anaemia

#### 2.4.3 Training

Appropriate ongoing training is a key part of a good data quality strategy. Training should be given to all persons involved in the collection, transcription, categorisation, entry, coding, and review of information. Organisational training practices and procedures should be documented in writing and continually reviewed for updates. Training should be performed by appropriately qualified individuals who are knowledgeable about the organisation's standardised procedures and focused on compliance. Cross-training of key functions is advisable to ensure a consistent approach and to preserve data quality standards during periods of unexpected personnel changes.

Given that organisations may commonly use unfamiliar or remote study sites for clinical trial conduct, it is also important to ensure that study site personnel (e.g., investigators, study nurses, clinical study coordinators, clinical research

associates, site pharmacists) are well trained in all relevant aspects of clinical trial conduct including:

- Correct use of the assigned data collection instruments
- Training in appropriate techniques for interviewing of study subjects/patients [e.g. the use of non-directed questioning, reporting of adverse events as diagnoses (when possible) rather than lists of signs and symptoms, precautions to avoid unblinding]
- Knowledge of relevant regulatory considerations related to quality data collection
- Adequate knowledge of the use of MedDRA for coding purposes, as applicable. This is particularly important for concepts such as coding of definitive versus provisional diagnoses (with or without symptoms) and not inferring diagnoses
- A thorough understanding of and compliance with an organisation's agreed-upon "data query" process to clarify information

The "Data Quality, Coding and MedDRA" presentation in the 'General/Basics' section of the "Training Materials" page of the MedDRA website (<a href="https://www.meddra.org/training-materials">https://www.meddra.org/training-materials</a>) is another useful resource. This customisable slide set is intended for use at investigator meetings and for training personnel involved with data collection (such as clinical research associates and clinical coordinators). It provides an overview of the importance and benefits of good quality data as it relates to MedDRA.

#### 2.4.4 Quality assurance checks

A thoughtful and thorough quality assurance (QA) process supports the goal of maximising data quality. QA checks during the data management process ensure compliance with established organisational procedures and metrics. Examples of inaccurate MedDRA coding which QA checks could identify include:

Reported	Inaccurately Selected LLT	QA Review Outcome	
Allergic to CAT scan	Allergic to cats	This inaccurate LLT was selected by an autoencoder which matched the words "Allergic to CAT scan" from the reported term	
Feels pressure in eye	Intraocular pressure	This inaccurate LLT refers to the name of the test for intraocular pressure; the appropriate term to reflect the symptom being described in the report would be LLT Sensation of pressure in eye	

These checks can identify coding errors with MedDRA before the database is locked and erroneous data become part of a data analysis.

The MSSO-maintained Unqualified Test Name Term List is a comprehensive collection of all unqualified test name terms at the Preferred Term (PT) and Lowest Level Term (LLT) levels in SOC *Investigations*. The Unqualified Test Name Term List can be found on the "Support Documentation" page on the MedDRA website. It may be applied by regulatory authorities and industry as a QA check of data quality in clinical trial and pharmacovigilance databases. Test name terms without qualifiers (e.g., LLT *Blood glucose*, LLT *CAT scan*) do not represent ARs/AEs but are intended to point to an actual value in a specific database field. For example, in the section for Results of Tests and Procedures in the ICH E2B ICSR electronic transmission standard, unqualified terms may be used in the data element capturing the test name. Unqualified Test Name terms are not intended for use in other data fields capturing information such as ARs/AEs. The Unqualified Test Name Term List is intended as a recommendation only, providing a standard tool for checking coding quality.

#### 2.4.5 MedDRA versioning strategy

Given the twice-yearly releases of new MedDRA versions, organisations should have a documented versioning strategy to address these updates. The MSSO has created a Best Practice document which contains sections entitled "Recommendations for MedDRA Implementation and Versioning for Clinical Trials" and "Recommendations for Single Case Reporting Using Semi-annual Version Control". This document is found on the "Support Documentation" page on the MedDRA website.

In addition, the MSSO has provided a MedDRA Version Analysis Tool (MVAT) which facilitates the identification and understanding of the impact of changes between any two MedDRA versions, including non-consecutive ones (see the "Tools" Page on the MedDRA website).

#### SECTION 3 – MEDICATION ERRORS

The purpose of this section is to expand on the section on medication errors in the *MedDRA Term Selection: Points to Consider* (MTS:PTC) document and to provide guidance on scenarios that are medication errors as well as scenarios informative for medication errors or scenarios that are confused with medication errors. Additionally, guidance and examples of coding of some scenarios are provided. This section has two main sub-sections; the first sub-section provides answers to commonly asked questions about coding medication errors. The second sub-section provides examples for coding medication errors. Examples are based on MedDRA Version 23.0.

The document is a living document and the content of this section will be updated based on user feedback. Users are invited to contact the MSSO Help Desk with any questions or comments about the MedDRA Points to Consider Companion Document.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The PtC Working Group would like to acknowledge the significant contributions of the following individuals to this section of the Companion Document: **Georgia Paraskevakos**, Patient Safety Specialist, Health Canada. **Jo Wyeth**, Postmarket Safety Program Lead, FDA CDER, OSE, Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA).

#### Background

For coding purposes, terms that reflect medication errors are grouped in the High Level Group Term (HLGT) *Medication errors and other product use errors and issues* (from MedDRA Version 20.0 onwards). However, terms located elsewhere in the MedDRA hierarchy can also be used to code cases describing medication errors. To aid data retrieval of the widely dispersed coding terms, the Standardised MedDRA Query (SMQ) *Medication errors* was developed, with a narrow and a broad scope, as a tool for standardised retrieval of suspected medication error cases.

The HLGT *Medication errors and other product use errors and issues* contains numerous terms:

- Types of errors (e.g., LLT Wrong drug),
- Terms combining the type of error with a stage of the medication use system (e.g., LLT Wrong drug prescribed)
- Describing the potential for error
- Intercepted errors that did not reach the patient
- This HLGT also contains terms for situations when it is uncertain whether the reported incident is an error

Each PT is grouped into one of the High Level Terms (HLTs), either for accidental exposures, stages of the medication use system\*, product confusion, or the HLT grouping for various other PTs not elsewhere classified.

\*For the purposes of this document, the medication use system encompasses a continuum of activities after release of the product into the healthcare system during which a medication error can occur, including procurement, storage, prescribing, transcribing, selecting, preparing, dispensing, administering, and monitoring. The medication use system excludes activities related to the entire manufacturing process including manufacturer distribution and storage.

#### 3.1 Coding Medication Errors – Questions and Answers

This sub-section provides answers to commonly asked questions about coding medication errors.

#### 3.1.1 Use of LLT Medication error

When is it acceptable to use the Lowest Level Term (LLT) *Medication error*? Can the term be selected if there is no appropriate MedDRA term for the error?

 The use of LLT Medication error should be avoided unless there is NO other information reported about the medication error

- Check all the LLTs in HLGT *Medication errors and other product* use errors and issues for the most specific term possible
- If a specific error is reported but no suitable LLT is available, the
  procedure for a change request should be followed (see the
  Change Requests page on the MedDRA website). In the interim,
  select the closest available term to code the reported error. There
  may be rare instances when LLT Medication error is the closest
  term and can be selected.

#### 3.1.2 Selecting more than one term Should terms for all reported errors related to the same incident be selected?

Sometimes the 'originating error' (also referred to as the initial error) results in consequent errors. For example, it was reported that "a prescribing error for the wrong drug consequently resulted in the wrong drug being dispensed and administered."

- The 'originating' error should be coded as the priority. Additional or 'consequent' errors can be coded if they are stated in the report. In the above example, the priority is to code LLT Wrong drug prescribed; LLT Wrong drug dispensed and LLT Wrong drug administered are terms for consequent errors and can also be added.
- Avoid 'double coding' the same error. In other words, do not use
  multiple LLTs to capture a singular error that is reported with both a
  general and a specific verbatim; code only the specific error. For
  example, if it is reported that there was an administration error in
  that the wrong drug was administered, select only LLT Wrong drug
  administered for the specific error. Do not use an additional LLT
  Drug administration error for the general description because this
  would not add any meaningful information (even though the two
  LLTs are linked to different PTs).
- Bear in mind that some organisations will have their database configured in a way that counts at LLT level and therefore if two LLTs which map to the same PT are used this may impact on signal detection.

#### 3.1.3 Medication error vs. off label use

It is reported that "a prescriber ordered a much higher dose than per label", but it is not stated if this was a mistake or off label use; should terms for both possibilities be selected, as in differential diagnoses?

• Do not double code a singular event by selecting a term for an error and a term for off label use when neither is stated but both are possible; this approach is not helpful.

- When a scenario is unclear, try to obtain clarification; if still
  unknown, select the most applicable term for what is reported
  without inferring what is not reported. For example, if it is only
  reported that Drug X was prescribed at a much higher dose than
  per label (no information that it was in error or off label use), select
  LLT Prescribed overdose (HLT Overdoses NEC).
- Off-label use terms should only be selected when off label use is specifically mentioned in the reported verbatim information.

# 3.1.4 Potential medication errors How should terms be selected for reports that describe the potential for error?

For example, a report stated that 'two drug labels look alike and could result in someone getting the wrong drug'.

- Potential errors should be designated as such by selecting the LLT
   Circumstance or information capable of leading to medication error or
   LLT Circumstance or information capable of leading to device use
   error.
- Also, select terms that represent information about the error that could potentially occur. For the above example, select three terms:
  - For the potential error (LLT Circumstance or information capable of leading to medication error)
  - o For the contributing scenario (LLT *Drug label look-alike*)
  - o For the type of error that could occur (LLT *Wrong drug*)

### 3.1.5 Selecting the most specific term

# How should terms that have overlapping concepts with other terms be used?

For example, a report described a patient who did not allow a product adequate time to reconstitute before self-administering.

• The most specific available LLT should be selected for the reported information. For the above example, select LLT *Inappropriate reconstitution technique* (PT *Product preparation error*) because it is more specific than LLT *Wrong technique in product usage process* (PT Wrong technique in product usage process). Coding a singular error by selecting two error terms is useful only when this provides meaningful additional information, i.e. when the single LLT cannot describe the entire reported scenario.

# 3.1.6 MedDRA Concept Description for medication error Does the MedDRA Concept Description for medication error include abuse, misuse, or off label uses?

The MedDRA Concept Description for medication error is taken from the National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention (US)\* and is as follows:

Medication errors are defined as any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the health care professional, patient or consumer. Such events may be related to professional practice, health care products, procedures and systems, including prescribing, order communication, product labeling, packaging and nomenclature, compounding, dispensing, distribution, administration, education, monitoring and use.

\* National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention (US); 2001. About medication errors. https://www.nccmerp.org/about-medication-errors. Accessed 1 March, 2020.

As a general principle, intentional uses such as abuse, intentional misuse, offlabel use, and intentional overdose are not medication errors. However, whether a scenario is an error or not may depend on the reason or cause. For example:

- If confusion with some aspect of the product causes or results in incorrect product use or misuse (e.g. the device was confusing so the person administered an extra dose to make sure he got a full dose), it would usually be considered an error, and not intentional misuse
- Occurrence of an adverse drug reaction (ADR) may cause the
  patient to stop therapy; this is not intentional misuse or an error.
  Therapy cessation due to an ADR is usually captured elsewhere in
  the database, and only the ADR is coded in the case.
- Patient may decide to take their medication less frequently than prescribed; this is usually classified as intentional misuse, not a medication error

Drug abuse and details describing how the drug is abused (route of administration, preparation) do not constitute medication errors

Note that situations such as product quality or product supply issues outside one's control are also not usually classified as medication errors, but can **result**in medication errors. For example, device malfunction or packaging defect (product quality issues) can result in an incorrect dose administered.

#### 3.1.7 Stages of the medication use system

# When is it appropriate to use a medication error term without the stage of the medication use system?

Some MedDRA terms have both the type of error and stage of the medication use system (e.g., LLT *Wrong drug prescribed*); some terms have only the type of error (e.g., LLT *Wrong drug*); and some terms have only the stage (e.g., LLT *Drug prescribing error*).

Using a single LLT

For example, a report stated that 'the pharmacy dispensed the wrong drug'. It is important to highlight both the stage and the type of error where it is known. In this example, this is possible using a single LLT *Wrong drug dispensed* (instead of two LLTs: LLT *Wrong drug* and LLT *Wrong drug dispensed*).

Using more than one LLT

For example, a report of 'mistakenly prescribed the wrong strength' should be coded with LLT *Wrong strength* and LLT *Drug prescribing error* because no available single term captures the reported information in full. If the stage is not known, there are terms for the type of error only, such as LLT *Wrong drug*, LLT *Wrong schedule*, LLT *Wrong strength*, etc.

#### 3.1.8 Coding the root cause

**Is it recommended to code the root cause if stated in the case report?** When the root cause is provided, select a term for the root cause if possible because root causes are critical to understanding why an error occurred and identifying interventions that can be undertaken to prevent the error.

- For example, a product quality issue may lead to a medication error; in such a case, the product quality issue is the root cause of the error.
   Select terms for both the quality issue and the error.
- For example, a communication issue may lead to a medication error; in such a case, the communication issue is the root cause of the error.
   Select terms for both the communication issue (e.g., LLT Patient misunderstanding health care provider instructions for product use) and the error.
- For the broader patient safety concepts, the root cause may not be represented in MedDRA and should be documented in free text (e.g. narrative field) if known. These include issues such as human factors (stress, fatigue) or system issues (training deficiencies, unclear instructions).

# 3.1.9 Do not infer a medication error ls it acceptable to use specific medication error codes for information not explicitly stated in the case report?

The selected LLTs should reflect only the information stated in the case report; it should not be assumed that a medication error occurred if this is not clearly reported as such.

For example, the report that only stated 'The nurse administered 50 mg of Drug X' is not an informative report and should not be submitted as such; further information should be sought or a dose qualification referencing the prescribing information should be provided in the narrative.

Ideally, at the point of data capture, the reason for reporting as a medication error should be included in the narrative, e.g. 'the patient was accidentally given 50 mg which is more than the prescribed dose'. Alternatively, if it is not possible to clarify with the reporter but the prescribing information recommends a smaller dose, then the report should reference the prescribing information in the narrative, e.g. "the nurse administered 50 mg of Drug X, whereas the recommended dose in the prescribing information is 5 mg."

# 3.1.10 Device use errors, wrong technique, and device malfunction. What is the difference between a device use error, wrong technique, and device malfunction?

When evaluating medication errors involving devices, it is important to capture the specific device related event that led to the error. Such events can be problems with the device itself (including device malfunctions), or they can be problem with the way the device is used by the person (device use error or wrong technique). In MedDRA, device use errors refer to broad errors in using the device appropriately, e.g., LLT *Unintentional device use beyond labelled duration*. In contrast, the wrong technique in device usage process concepts refer specifically to the *technical* aspect of not properly using the device (e.g., LLT *Incorrect needle gauge used*, LLT *Wrong injection technique*). Device malfunction refers to failure of the device to perform as intended when used in accordance with the labelling. A malfunction of a device is not considered a device use error or a wrong technique error.

Sometimes the reports do not have enough information to determine if the incident is related to a device issue/malfunction, device use error, or wrong technique. Clarification should be sought since these are very important distinctions. Attempt to code the verbatim information and avoid inferences.

#### 3.2 Examples for Coding Medication Errors

This sub-section provides examples for coding medication errors in various categories.

The tables are organised in the following way:

- The first column describes a scenario
- The second column indicates whether this scenario is considered a medication error in the context of the MTS:PTC or not, or if this is unknown from the provided information
- The third column provides the selected LLT(s) and, if helpful, the relevant PT(s) or HLT(s)
- The fourth column provides additional comments and explanations regarding the term selection

The LLTs may fall into more than one category and the concepts presented may overlap across tables.

3.2.1 Accidental exposures to products

3.2.1 Accidental exposures to products				
Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment	
Person tried to commit suicide by overdosing on prescription opioids and heroin	No	Multiple drug overdose intentional	This is not a medication error as the person intended to overdose	
		Attempted suicide		
Person took street heroin to get high but died of a heroin overdose	No	Overdose Opioid abuse	It is not known that the overdose was intentional; do not code as accidental overdose because the scenario is in the context of drug abuse, not a medication error. Death would be captured as an outcome.	
Parent accidentally injected himself in the thumb while using an auto-injector to administer the drug to the child	Yes	Accidental exposure while administering drug	The parent was not the intended patient and was accidentally exposed to the drug. The selected LLT captures the reported information with specificity, e.g., that the accidental exposure occurred while administering the drug.	
Patient with visual impairment experienced choking after accidentally swallowing a desiccant tube that was the same colour and similar size as the tablets in the bottle	Yes	Accidental ingestion of product desiccant  Product appearance confusion  Choking	Accidental exposure is captured as well as the contributing factor of look-alike product confusion.  LLT Visual impairment would be captured in medical history.	
2-year-old child took some antibiotics that were accidentally left on the kitchen counter	Yes	Accidental drug intake by child		
Adolescent died of overdose after taking 200	No	Drug abuse	Overdose in the context of abuse is	

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
doses of a nasal inhalant in under 15 minutes, in an attempt to get high		Overdose	not a medication error nor Intentional misuse (which implies therapeutic use according to the table in MTS:PTC, Section 3.16). Death would be captured as an outcome.
Adult ingested 2 tablets of 100 mg strength	Unknown		This is not an informative report and further information should be sought. There is nothing to code in the provided text.
Adult intentionally ingested 2 tablets of 100 mg strength for his back pain instead of the recommended 1 tablet	No	Intentional misuse by dose change	This is an example of intentional misuse and is not a medication error

#### 3.2.2 Miscellaneous medication errors/issues

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Pharmacist reported that the product label was confusing and that it could result in a patient receiving the wrong dosage form	Yes	Circumstance or information capable of leading to medication error  Product label confusion  Wrong dosage form	This is an example of a potential medication error since the report does not state that the wrong product was actually dispensed or administered. The LLT Circumstance or information capable of leading to medication error captures that the error is a potential one. The most specific code for the reported type of potential medication error should be selected and the contributing factor, label confusion.

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Patient drew her insulin out of the pen with a syringe because she was confused by the numbers marked on the pen, and did not want to mistakenly take too much insulin using the pen	Yes	Wrong device used Product design confusion	The patient uses a wrong device to prevent an error, due to her initial confusion with the pen markings. The confusion and the consequent use of the wrong device are both within a scenario of a medication error, so there is no need to add Intentional device misuse.
Patient experienced hypoglycaemia after he used his insulin pen cartridge as a vial. He reported that he did so because he had leftover insulin syringes and did not want to waste them.	No	Intentional device misuse Hypoglycaemia	This is an example of Intentional misuse: there is a therapeutic purpose but there is no mention of a medication error
The pharmacist selected a wrong adapter device that was incompatible with the drug; the device started dissolving when it was used to transfer the drug from the vial to the bag for administration	Yes	Wrong device used Drug-device incompatibility	Capture both that the wrong device was used and that it is incompatible with the drug
Patient did not wait the recommended 10 seconds when using the autoinjector pen because he misunderstood how to use the pen	Yes	Wrong technique in device usage process	Do not select LLT Device use error, since this is a broader term than the selected LLT Wrong technique in device usage process. The selected LLT represents a technical error with using the device.
The patient forgot to have her hormonal IUD replaced after the recommended 5 years. In the 7 <sup>th</sup> year after device was originally inserted, she became pregnant.	Yes	Unintentional device use beyond labelled duration  Pregnancy with IUD	LLT Unintentional device use beyond labelled duration (PT Device use error) represents a broad error in using the device appropriately according to recommendations for its intended use.

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Pharmacy software had a built-in dose calculator that was misprogrammed by the pharmacy. The error resulted in a child getting the wrong dose.	Yes	Device programming error  Dose calculation error associated with device  Wrong dose administered	
While hospitalized, patient experienced an unspecified medication error but no adverse event	Yes	Medication error	This is not an informative report but is an example where the verbatim is captured with LLT <i>Medication error</i> .  According to the MTS:PTC, if a medication error report specifically states that there were no clinical consequences, the preferred option is to select only a term for the medication error.  Alternatively, a term for the medication error and the additional LLT <i>No adverse effect</i> can be selected (see MTS:PTC, Section 3.21).
Nurse administered the wrong dose after using a faulty mobile medical device (app) that miscalculated the patient's insulin needs	Yes, consequent to a device issue	Mobile medical application issue  Dose calculation error associated with device  Wrong dose administered	The issue with the mobile application is the cause of the dose calculation error and the subsequent administration of the wrong dose
Patient split their tablet (labelling doesn't advise against splitting the tablet)	No		The report does not mention an error, instead it confirms that this is not a medication error because the label does not advise not to split.

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
			There is nothing to code in the provided text.
Provider prescribed half a tablet once daily, unaware that the labelling states to swallow the tablets whole. Patient split the tablets.	Yes	Product prescribing error Tablet split by mistake	This is a prescribing error that resulted in the patient splitting the tablet. This is not a case of off label use, as the prescriber was unaware that the tablet should not be split.
Prescriber advised patient to split tablet. The labelling states that tablets should be swallowed whole.	Unknown	Product prescribing issue	Select LLT Product prescribing issue since it is not known whether this is unintentional (a medication error) or intentional (off label use). The report does not indicate whether the prescriber was aware that the tablets should be swallowed whole.
Patient should be on Drug A but instead got Drug B; it is unclear where the error occurred	Yes	Wrong drug	This is a "Wrong drug" medication error; the stage where the error occurred is not stated (e.g., at prescribing, dispensing, selection, or administration)
A generic was incorrectly substituted for the brand name product although the physician specifically prescribed the brand name product with no substitution	Yes	Product substitution error (HLT Medication errors, product use errors and issues NEC)	
Patient had thrown medicated opioid patches in the open waste bin instead of disposing as recommended in the label. Their child experienced an overdose after playing with the patches.	Yes	Incorrect disposal of medication  Accidental exposure to product by child  Accidental overdose	The route of exposure is not specified in the verbatim information and therefore cannot be coded

#### 3.2.3 Product administration errors/issues

#### 3.2.3.1 **Dose omission**

As per the MedDRA Concept Description, dose omission is 'the failure to administer an ordered dose to a patient before the next scheduled dose, if any. This excludes patients who refuse to take a medication, a clinical decision (e.g., contraindication), or other reasons not to administer (e.g., patient sent for test)."

For the purposes of retrieval and analysis, in general, a dose omission should be considered to be a suspected medication error. However, there are scenarios where doses are missed which are not considered medication errors. The cause or contributing factors for the dose omission are necessary to determine if the omission is a medication error or not, and consequently to select the appropriate MedDRA terms. Scenarios where dose omission occurs can be generally grouped as follows:

- Dose omission unintentional (error) (e.g., patient misunderstood instructions; pen device jammed and patient could not deliver the dose; patient forgot to take dose)
- Dose omission intentional (e.g., patient skips a dose of an antidiabetic because of low blood sugar, medicine held one day prior to surgery)
- Dose omission that is unspecified (cause / contributing factors unknown)
- Therapy interruption (neither an error nor intentional. Due to nonclinical or external factors such as supply, insurance, financial issues, etc.)

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Health provider reported a problem that resulted in leakage where the two syringes were connected. This led to the dose not being given.	Yes	Syringe connection issue  Device leakage	This is an example of a device issue leading to a medication error.
		Drug dose omission by device	
Patient was not given the dose of the drug, as the nurse accidentally administered the diluent to the patient instead of using the diluent to reconstitute the vial containing the active ingredient	Yes	Missed dose in error  Active ingredient not added to diluent  (PT Product preparation error)	In this scenario, dose omission is an error caused by failure to reconstitute the vial with the diluent. The specific term LLT <i>Missed dose in error</i> should be selected if the report indicates that

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
		Single component of a two-component product administered	the dose omission is an error.
Missed dose	Unknown	Missed dose (PT Product dose omission)	
Patient couldn't take medication for a week because the pharmacy was out of the medication	No	Temporary interruption of therapy  Product availability issue	This event is neither intentional nor a medication error. Use LLT Temporary interruption of therapy and capture that external factors caused the interruption of therapy.
Patient missed her dose because she did not notice that one of the dosage units in the package was empty	Yes	Missed dose in error  Package empty units (PT Product packaging quantity issue)	This event of missing a dose is due to a product packaging quantity issue
Patient did not take medication this week because he could not afford it	No	Inability to afford medication  Temporary interruption of therapy	This is neither a dose omission in error nor an intentional dose omission. Use LLT Temporary interruption of therapy and capture that external factors caused the interruption of therapy.
The afternoon dose was held because the patient was scheduled for a medical procedure	No	Intentional dose omission	This is an example of an intentionally omitted dose
Patient's blood sugar was low so he decided to skip the prescribed evening dose of insulin	No	Intentional dose omission	This is an example of an intentionally omitted dose by the patient

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Patient took the drug as prescribed but broke out in a red itchy rash and did not take the remaining doses	No	Itchy rash	Stopping therapy because of an adverse event does not represent an error or intentional misuse. Discontinuation of therapy is typically captured as an outcome.
Patient habitually skipped prescribed antipsychotic	No	Treatment noncompliance	
The on-body infuser fell off the patient's arm and she missed the dose	Yes	Missed dose in error  Drug delivery device fell off skin	Capture the unintentional missed dose and that it occurred because the delivery device fell off. In this case it is not stated whether this is an adhesion issue.
Patient forgot to take his medication on one day during the week	Yes	Forgot to take product	

### 3.2.3.2 Other administration errors/issues

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Patient accidentally took 1 tablet twice daily instead of the prescribed 1 tablet once daily	Yes	Once daily dose taken more frequently	When available, it is important to select a specific LLT for the reported scenario, rather than just the LLT that matches the PT Inappropriate schedule of product administration, allowing further sub-analyses on the LLT level.  Although the LLT does not capture that it was accidental, it falls under HLT Product

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
			administration errors and issues.
Tablet was crumbled, but was still administered to the patient	Yes, consequent to a product quality issue	Tablet physical issue Poor quality drug administered	"Tablet was crumbled" in this scenario is a product quality issue (LLT Tablet physical issue); do not select a medication error term such as LLT Tablet crushed incorrectly. The error is that a product with a known quality issue ("crumbled") was still administered to the patient.
Patient had difficulty removing the tablet from the thick blister pack; she managed to force it out but the tablet crumbled into many pieces that fell to the floor. She found and took only one piece of the dose.	Yes	Product blister packaging issue Incorrect dose administered	"Tablet crumbled" in this scenario is not a product quality issue and does not need to be coded. Code the reported blister packaging issue and the consequent partial dose administration.
Syringe plunger couldn't be completely pushed down so the patient received only half of his scheduled dose	Yes, consequent to a delivery device issue	Device delivery system malfunction  Incorrect dose administered by device	Capture both the device issue and the consequent medication error. LLT Device delivery system malfunction is more specific than LLT Syringe issue.
A patient reported that he followed the directions for use, but the device jammed and most of the injection sprayed all over his hands	Yes, consequent to a delivery device issue	Device delivery system malfunction  Accidental exposure while administering drug  Exposure via skin	Do not infer a missed dose, since it is not reported in the narrative
		contact	24

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Patient taking contraindicated drug	Unknown	Contraindicated drug administered	The report states that the patient is taking a contraindicated drug; circumstances are not provided
The drug was administered in the abdomen rather than the arm muscle as recommended	Unknown	Drug administered at inappropriate site	
Patient inquired about possible overdose symptoms because she accidentally took an extra dose	Yes	Extra dose administered	The patient is only inquiring about overdose symptoms (not reporting an overdose).  Although the LLT does not capture that it was accidental, it falls under HLT <i>Product administration errors and issues</i> .
Patient reported taking an expired drug for his headache	Unknown	Expired drug used	
Patient experienced respiratory arrest after the nurse misprogrammed the infusion pump to deliver the drug over 5 minutes instead of the intended	Yes	Drug administration rate too fast  Pump programming error	
50 minutes		Respiratory arrest	
The patient used a cracked insulin cartridge which resulted in a partial dose	Yes	Partial dose delivery by device	
administered		Cartridge cracked	

#### 3.2.4 Product confusion errors/issues

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Patient was dispensed Drug Y instead of Drug X. The two drugs had	Yes	Look alike packaging	

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
similar looking packaging.		Wrong drug dispensed	
Patient purchased over the counter (OTC) Drug X 10 g instead of intended Drug X 5 g because of label confusion	Yes	Product label confusion  Wrong drug strength selected	
Patient accidentally took the wrong drug for a week because the tablets looked identical to his daily vitamin tablets	Yes	Look alike pill appearance Wrong drug administered	
Mix-up of 5 mg/ml with 50 mg/ml product	Yes	Wrong strength	It is unclear whether the patient was administered the drug. 'Strength' pertains to the product itself; 'dose' is the amount of drug the patient receives / should receive.
Patient was dispensed 'Drillo' instead of 'Millo', as the pharmacist misheard the name of the drug as 'Drillo" when the physician ordered it over the telephone	Yes	Drug name sound- alike Wrong drug dispensed	
Patient experienced skin ulceration after applying the wrong topical cream. Error attributed to the creams packaged in the same size tube with similar red font and black background.	Yes	Look alike packaging Wrong drug administered Skin ulceration	

### 3.2.5 Dispensing errors/issues

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Patient complained that the generic didn't work	No	Product substitution issue brand to generic	This is a product quality complaint

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
as well as the innovator drug		Drug effect decreased	
A generic was substituted for the brand name product	Unknown	Product substitution (HLT Therapeutic procedures NEC)	Code only what is stated. The report does not specify an error.
Patient received expired patches from the pharmacy	Yes	Expired drug dispensed	
Patient took the drug daily instead of on the intended weekly schedule because the clinic wrote the wrong directions on the vial	Yes	Wrong directions typed on label (PT Product dispensing error)  Once weekly dose taken more frequently	
Drug was not dispensed in the original container, although the labelling advises that the drug must be kept in the original container	Yes	Drug not dispensed in original container	
The prescription was illegible and resulted in the pharmacy dispensing the wrong strength	Yes	Wrong drug strength dispensed  Written prescription illegible	
Pharmacy dispensed drug with the pharmacy label obscuring the recommended storage information. Product stored at wrong temperature.	Yes	Drug dispensing error  Pharmacy label placed incorrectly (PT Product dispensing error)  Product storage error	

3.2.6 Monitoring errors/issues

3.2.6 Monitoring errors/issues				
Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment	
Patient was hospitalized with thromboembolism because his INR wasn't monitored as recommended in the	Yes	Drug monitoring procedure not performed  Thromboembolism		
labelling Literature report	No	Drug interaction		
hypothesised a possible drug interaction caused the patient to experience hypotension		Hypotension		
Patient experienced type I hypersensitivity after	Yes	Hypersensitivity type I		
receiving amoxicillin during surgery. The patient's e-health record had a documented history of amoxicillin allergy. The error was attributed to the lack of interoperability between the anaesthesia software and the hospital's e-health record.		Documented hypersensitivity to administered drug  Device computer software issue		
Patient on anticoagulant undergoing surgery but due to an oversight, it	Yes	Medication monitoring error		
was not stopped prior to surgery as recommended in the		Failure to suspend medication		
labelling and patient experienced postoperative bleeding		Postoperative bleeding		
Provider prescribed two drugs with known drug interaction because he was unaware of the interaction potential	Yes	Labelled drug-drug interaction medication error		
Interaction potential		Drug prescribing error		

3.2.7 Preparation errors/issues

3.2.7 Preparation errors/issues					
Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment		
Caregiver wasn't aware to remove the inner cover from an insulin pen needle when preparing the pen	Yes	Product assembly error during preparation for use			
Product was reconstituted with the wrong diluent	Yes	Wrong solution used in drug reconstitution			
Pharmacy compounded the wrong strength product	Yes	Product compounding error Wrong strength			
Patient received only one component of a two-component product because the nurse wasn't aware that the two components needed to be mixed together before administration	Yes	Product preparation error  Single component of a two-component product administered			
Pharmacy prepared incorrect concentration because of confusion related to the way the strengths for the two active ingredients were stated on the label	Yes	Wrong concentration prepared  Product label confusion			
The technician didn't follow the instructions to mix the contents of the vial for 5 minutes after reconstitution	Yes	Product preparation error	LLT Product preparation error (HLT Product preparation errors and issues) is more specific than LLT Wrong technique in product usage process (HLT Medication errors, product use errors and issues NEC)		
Respiratory therapist put the canister in an inhaler the wrong way	Yes	Product assembly error during preparation for use			

## 3.2.8 Prescribing errors/issues

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
Drug prescribed in error for unauthorised use	Yes	Drug prescribing error	This is a prescribing error. Off label use should not be coded in addition. Off label use is an intentional act not an error.
Unintentionally prescribed Drug X instead of Drug Y because the names sounded alike	Yes	Drug prescribing error Drug name sound- alike	It is important to be able to identify the confusion as a root cause
Prescribed 4 mg/kg instead of 0.4 mg/kg. Prescriber realised immediately and called nurse but nurse had already administered the drug.	Yes	Drug dose prescribing error Wrong dose administered	Even though the error was detected it was not intercepted in time
Patient was switched to different insulin product but dose adjustment was not written on the prescription. Patient administered the wrong dose and experienced hypoglycaemia.	Yes	Drug dose prescribing error Wrong dose administered Hypoglycaemia	
Patient was prescribed 2 times the appropriate dose due to computerised prescriber order entry (CPOE) error	Yes	Drug dose prescribing error CPOE error	
Patient with intractable seizures and taking multiple drugs was prescribed a contraindicated drug	Unknown	Contraindicated drug prescribed	LLT Seizures should be captured as medical history
Patient was prescribed 0.5 mg to be taken by splitting the 1 mg tablet	Unknown		No event to code based on the stated information. It is not known if this is a prescribing error, off label use, or neither. If this is the ONLY information,

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
			this is not a case and should not be recorded.
Patient prescribed 1 tablet daily for insomnia for many years. The product directions state that the product should not be taken for more than 2 weeks.	Unknown	Medically prescribed prolongation of labelled treatment duration (PT Product prescribing issue)	The selected LLT captures both the "prescribing" concept and the "duration" concept
An elderly man felt dizzy and fell after he was inappropriately prescribed Drug A	Unknown	Inappropriate prescribing Dizzy Fall	Select LLT Inappropriate prescribing only when specifically stated in the narrative; otherwise, select LLT Product prescribing issue or a similar term when it is unknown if the product was prescribed off label or in error
Patient hospitalised for withdrawal symptoms after his unspecified opioids were inappropiately downtitrated	Unknown	Opiate withdrawal symptoms Inappropriate drug titration	
Patient prescribed 0.25 mg (off-label starting dose)	No	Off label dosing	
Physician ordered the wrong rate of administration for the IV drug, and the patient experienced hypotension	Yes	Incorrect drug administration rate  Hypotension  Drug prescribing error	
Drug indicated for IV administration was used off label via the oral route	No	Off label use Intravenous formulation administered by other route	LLT Intravenous formulation administered by other route (PT Incorrect route of product administration, HLT Product administration errors and issues) provides additional information about the specific type of off label

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
			use. The term is not an off label use term itself; it is a general product use issue term that can be used in combination with other terms to capture detail about off label use, misuse, medication errors, etc.
Patient accidentally received duplicate therapy because the prescriber didn't realise the 2 drugs had the same active ingredient	Yes	Duplicate drug prescription error  Duplicate therapy with same active substance	

### 3.2.9 Product selection errors/issues

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
The elderly patient confirmed that due to the cataract, the patient did not see well and ended up buying the infant formulation	Yes	Product selection error	This is not a product name confusion. Cataract would be captured as medical history.
Pharmacist selected the wrong drug because of name confusion, but the error was caught and corrected before the drug was dispensed	Yes	Intercepted wrong drug selected Drug name confusion	It is important to capture the cause of the error
The hospital selected the wrong bag and the patient received a transfusion of the wrong blood type prior to and during surgery	Yes	Wrong product selected  Transfusion with incompatible blood	
Clerk ordered the wrong drug from the wholesaler because the drugs were listed next to each other in the catalogue and the names looked very similar	Yes	Wrong drug selected Drug name look- alike	

3.2.10 Product storage errors/issues

3.2.10 Product storage errors/issues				
Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment	
Healthcare facility reported storing reconstituted drug in syringes past the recommended 30 days, and administering it to patients. One of these syringes was used by a patient who reported that the drug didn't work.	Yes	Improper storage of unused product  Expired drug administered  Lack of drug effect	LLT Poor quality drug administered should not be selected because the selected LLT Expired drug administered is more specific	
Vaccine product was stored in the pharmacy at excessive temperatures	Yes	Product storage error temperature too high	This is a medication error, as the error occurred in the product use system	
The pharmacy staff member could not find drug as it had inadvertently been placed on the wrong shelf	Yes	Drug stored in wrong location		
Boxes of the drug sent from the manufacturer were left outside at excessive temperatures over the weekend when the wholesaler was closed	No	Manufacturing product storage issue (HLT <i>Product distribution and storage issues</i> , SOC <i>Product issues</i> ).	This storage problem is not a medication error because it occurred under manufacturing distribution and storage activities, prior to the product reaching the medication use system	
Pharmacy delivered the drug to the patient's home while the patient was hospitalised. The package was outside at temperatures below freezing for two days (drug should not be frozen).	Yes	Product storage error temperature too low	This is a medication error, as the error occurred in the product use system	
Manufacturer issued a recall of certain lots of Drug X that were found to be exposed to inappropriate storage	No	Manufacturing product storage issue Recalled product	This storage problem is not a medication error because it occurred under manufacturing distribution and storage activities, prior to the product	

Scenario	Medication error?	LLT	Comment
conditions by the wholesaler			reaching the medication use system
Pharmacy mistakenly stocked the wrong drug in the automated dispensing system.	Yes	Drug label look- alike Wrong drug	
Reporter attributed the error to both drugs being		stocked	
packaged in similar sized vials with look-alike container labels.		Product packaging confusion	

3.2.11 Product transcribing errors/communication issues

Scenario	Medicati on error?	LLT	Comment
Healthcare provider called in a prescription for Drug A, but pharmacy wrote down the prescription as Drug B	Yes	Transcription medication error	
Pharmacy dispensed 800 mg strength instead of 600 mg due to data entry error	Yes	Product data entry error	
		Wrong drug strength dispensed	
Physician ordered insulin pens, but a transcription error transpired with the	Yes	Transcription medication error	
pharmacy and the patient was dispensed insulin in a vial with syringes instead		Wrong device dispensed	
Patient had an issue communicating and was given the possible	No	Communication disorder	Despite the terms "issue" and "communicating" in the example, this is not a
diagnosis of autism		Autism	medication error and should not be captured under LLT <i>Product</i>
			communication issue, but rather should be captured under LLT Communication disorder